arXiv:0706.0448v3 [math.RT] 9 Sep 2008

Representations of Graded Multi-Loop Lie Algebras

Tanusree Pal and Punita Batra

Harish-Chandra Research Institute,

Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad -211019, India

Email: tanusree@hri.res.in, batra@hri.res.in

Abstract

Let "$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$(respectively, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$) be the graded multi-loop Lie algebra (respectively graded twisted multi-loop Lie algebra)" associated with the simple finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. In this paper, we prove that irreducible integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules with finite dimensional weight spaces are either highest weight modules or their duals and classify the isomorphism classes of irreducible integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules with finite dimensional weight spaces.

MSC : Primary : 17B65, secondary : 17B10.

Keywords. Irreducible Integrable module; Multi-loop Lie algebra.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Let A $= \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in n commuting variables $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n$. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n$ be a set of pairwise commuting finite order automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mu_i^{m_i} = Id_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for each i. As defined in (Allison, et.all,to appear), a multi-loop Lie algebra $M(\mathfrak{g}; \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)$ is given by

$$M(\mathfrak{g}; \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) = \sum_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{g}^{(\bar{\ell_1}, \cdots, \bar{\ell_n})} \otimes t_1^{\ell_1} \cdots t_n^{\ell_n} \tag{0.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{(\bar{\ell_1},\cdots,\bar{\ell_n})} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mu_i x = \epsilon_{m_i}^{\ell_i} x \text{ for } 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\}$. Let D be the complex linear span of the derivations $\{d_1, \cdots, d_n\}$ of A. A graded multi-loop Lie algebra is defined by

$$M(\mathfrak{g}; \mu_{\mathtt{1}}, \cdots, \mu_{\mathtt{n}}) \oplus D.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_A= M(\mathfrak{g}; id_{\mathfrak{g}}, \cdots, id_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)= M(\mathfrak{g}; \mu, id_{\mathfrak{g}}, \cdots, id_{\mathfrak{g}})$. The universal central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ is called a toroidal Lie algebra. Integrable irreducible representations for the multi-loop Lie algebras and the toroidal Lie algebras have been studied at length in (Batra, 2004; Rao, 1995; Rao, 2001; Rao, 2004).

The aim of this paper is to classify the isomorphism classes of the irreducible integrable modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for the graded multi-loop Lie algebras

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A \ = \mathfrak{g}_A \ \oplus D; \qquad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu) = \mathfrak{g}_A(\mu) \ \oplus D.$$

This classification has been given in Theorem 3.8 for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules and Theorem 4.7 for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules. This paper is organized as follows.

Section 1 reviews known facts about the multi-loop Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_A$,$\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$and the graded multi-loop Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$,$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$. Let I_{fin} and I^{μ}_{fin} be the categories of integrable modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ respectively. It had been proved in (Rao, 2001) that irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ modules in I_{fin} are highest weight modules or their duals. In Section 2, we show that irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ modules in I^{μ}_{fin} are either highest weight modules or their duals. After recalling the definitions of graded and non-graded highest weight modules in the generality of multi-loop Lie algebras from (Rao, 2004), the one-one correspondence between them is used in Proposition 2.12 to prove that every irreducible highest weight module in I^{μ}_{fin} is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ submodule of some irreducible highest weight module in I_{fin} .

It had been proved in (Rao, 2004), that an irreducible highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ module in I_{fin} is of the form "V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$)", where $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$:U($\mathfrak{h}_A$) $\to A$ is an algebra homomorphism as defined in 3.1. In Section 3, we analyse the map "$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$" and study its effect on V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$) in Theorem 3.4[1]. Then using Theorem 3.4, we classify the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules in I_{fin} . In Section 4, using Proposition 2.12 and the general form "V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$)" of irreducible integrable highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ modules, we give the general form for irreducible integrable highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules and classify the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules in I^{μ}_{fin} . Further it is shown that an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ module V$(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})\in I_{fin}$ is completely reducible as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-module if either the corresponding finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_A$ module is irreducible as a $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$-module or if Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})} = A$.

---

[1] A part of this theorem had been proved in (Yoon, 2002), but the proof here is more elementary.

The result on the unique factorization of tensor product of irreducible finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{g}$, as proved in (Rajan, 2004), considerably simplifies the proofs of the main theorems, Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 4.8, wherein the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules in I_{fin} and I^{μ}_{fin} have been classified.

Notation : In the present paper all Lie algebras are defined over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. The superscript * stands for dual space, U$(\cdot)$ stands for the universal enveloping algebra. $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq 0\}$. For any integer n, I_n denotes the set $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. We use underlined letters $\underline{m}$ to denote a n-tuple of integers $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$ and in particular for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\underline{e}_i$ denotes the n-tuple $(0, \cdots, \underbrace{1}_{i^{th}}, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with 1 is in the i^{th} place and zero elsewhere. Given an integer p, p$\underline{m}$ denotes the n-tuple $(pm_1, pm_2, \ldots, pm_n)$.

1 PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank d, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\triangle$ the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\pi = \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d \}$ be a set of simple roots. Let $\triangle_+$ (respectively, $\triangle_-$) be the set of positive (respectively, negative) roots with respect to π. $\mathfrak{g}$ has a standard decomposition given by:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathfrak{n}^{\pm} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \triangle_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$$

and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the root space corresponding to the root $\alpha \in \triangle$. For each $\alpha \in \triangle$, there exists $x^{\pm}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$ such that $[x^+_\alpha, x^-_\alpha]$=$\alpha^\vee$ and $[\alpha^\vee, x^{\pm}_\alpha]$=$\pm 2x^{\pm}_\alpha$.

Let μ be a k-order diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \overset{k-1}{\underset{i=0}{\oplus}} \mathfrak{g}_i$, where for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{g}_i = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mu(X) = \epsilon^i X\}$, ϵ is a primitive k^{th} root of unity and $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i \cap \mathfrak{h}$. It has been shown in (Kac, 1990, Proposition 8.3), that the μ fixed point subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple

Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$ and Chevalley generators $x_i^{\pm}$, $i \in$ I, where I is a finite set and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$ module with lowest weight θ_0 and weight vectors $E_0 \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{-\theta_0}$ and $F_0 \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\theta_0}$. Let $\triangle_0$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.Then $\triangle_0 = (\triangle_0)^s \cup (\triangle_0)^\ell$, where $(\triangle_0)^\ell$ and $(\triangle_0)^s$ denote the set of long and short roots of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ as given in (Kac, 1990, Chapter 7).

Let A= $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in n commuting variables $t_1, \cdots, t_n$. For $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we denote $t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2} \ldots t_n^{m_n}$ by $t^{\underline{m}}$. For a vector space V over $\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathrm{V}_A := \mathrm{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$ and let $v(\underline{m}) = v \otimes t^{\underline{m}}$ for $v \in$ V. Via the injective map $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$ we identify V$\otimes$1 with the vector space V.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$be the multi-loop algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with Lie bracket given by

$$[X(\underline{m}), Y(\underline{n})] = [X, Y]\,(\underline{m} + \underline{n}), \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g},\ \underline{m}, \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \tag{1.1}$$

Let D be the linear span of the derivations $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$ of Aover $\mathbb{C}$ defined by $d_i(t^{\underline{m}}) = m_i t^{\underline{m}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$= $\mathfrak{g}_A$ $\oplus D$ the graded multi-loop Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, in which $[d_i, X(\underline{m})]$= $m_i X(\underline{m})$ for all $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ has a decomposition given by :

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A = \mathfrak{n}_A^+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A \oplus \mathfrak{n}_A^-, \qquad \text{where } \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A = \mathfrak{h}_A \oplus D.$$

Given a diagram automorphism μ of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, it can be extended to an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ as follows :

$$\mu(X(\underline{m})) = \epsilon^{-m_1} \mu(X)(\underline{m}), \qquad \mu(d_i) = d_i, \qquad \forall\ i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

The fixed point subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_A \mid \mu(X) = X\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_A$ is called the twisted multi-loop Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see Batra, 2004) and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$= $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$ $\oplus D$ is the graded twisted multi-loop algebra. For a subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, let $\mathfrak{a}(\mu) = \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \mu(X) = X\}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ has a decomposition given by :

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu) = \mathfrak{n}_A^+(\mu) \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A(\mu) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_A^-(\mu), \qquad \text{where } \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A(\mu) = \mathfrak{h}_A(\mu) \oplus D.$$

Define elements $\delta_i \in (\mathfrak{h} + D)^*$ by $\delta_i|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$, $\delta_i(d_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for i,j $\in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The space $\mathfrak{h}^*$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$) is identified with a subspace of $(\mathfrak{h} + D)^*$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{h}_0 + D)^*$) by setting $\lambda(d_i) = 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$). For $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define $\delta_{\underline{m}} = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \delta_i$.

1.2. Let $\triangle$ be the set of roots for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A,\ \mathfrak{h}\ )$ with the standard base π and $\triangle^\mu$ be the set of roots for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu),\ \mathfrak{h}_0)$ with the standard base π^μ. Let $\mathrm{W}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A)$ and $\mathrm{W}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu))$ denote the Weyl groups of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ respectively. $\gamma \in \triangle$ (respectively $\gamma \in \triangle^\mu$) is called a real root if γ is $\mathrm{W}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A)$-conjugate to π (respectively, $\mathrm{W}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu))$-conjugate to π^μ). We shall denote the set of real roots of $\triangle$ (respectively $\triangle^\mu$) by $\triangle_{re}$ (respectively $\triangle^\mu_{re}$) and $\triangle_{im} = \triangle \setminus \triangle_{re}$ (respectively $\triangle^\mu_{im} = \triangle^\mu \setminus \triangle^\mu_{re}$) shall denote the set of imaginary roots of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$(respectively $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$).

The root space corresponding to a root α of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$(resp. of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$) is denoted by $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A)_\alpha$ (resp. $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu))_\alpha$). For $\alpha \in \triangle_{re}$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A)_\alpha=1$ (resp. for $\alpha \in \triangle^\mu_{re}$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu))_\alpha=1$).

1.3. From the theory of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ we know that given a root $\alpha \in \triangle$, there exists $x^\pm_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$ and $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathrm{S}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}$-span $\{x^\pm_\alpha, \alpha^\vee\}$ is isomorphic to sl_2. It has been shown in (Rao, 2004), that for such a choice of elements $\{x^\pm_\alpha, \alpha^\vee\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, the $\mathbb{C}$-span of $\{x^+_\alpha(\underline{m}), x^-_\alpha(-\underline{m}), \alpha^\vee\}$ forms a copy of sl_2 in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ for all $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

2 INTEGRABLE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ AND $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ WITH FINITE DIMENSIONAL WEIGHT SPACES

Definition 2.1. A $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-module V (resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-module $V^{(\mu)}$) is said to be integrable if

(1). V is a weight module with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus D$ (resp. $V^{(\mu)}$ is a weight module with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}_0} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus D$),

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})^*} V_\lambda \qquad (\text{resp.}\mathrm{V}^{(\mu)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{h}_0})^*} \mathrm{V}^{(\mu)}_\lambda).$$

(2). For every $v \in$ V and $\alpha \in \triangle_{re}$ (resp. $\alpha \in \triangle^\mu_{re}$), $\exists$ a positive integer N = N(α, v) such that $X^N_\alpha.v = 0$ for all $X_\alpha \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A\ )_\alpha$ (resp. $X_\alpha \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu))_\alpha$).

Let I_{fin} (resp. I^μ_{fin}) be the category of of integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules (resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules) with finite dimensional weight spaces.

Proposition 2.2. : If V is an integrable irreducible module with finite dimensional weight spaces for the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$or $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$, then there exists a non-zero weight vector $v \in$ V such that

$$\mathfrak{n}_A^+ v = 0, \quad (\text{resp.}\mathfrak{n}_A^+(\mu)v = 0),$$
$$or \quad \mathfrak{n}_A^- v = 0. \quad (\text{resp. } \mathfrak{n}_A^-(\mu)v = 0).$$

Proof. The proposition was proved for irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules V $\in I_{fin}$ in (Rao, 2001, Proposition 3.2). If V $\in I^{\mu}_{fin}$ is irreducible, then the proof follows from (Chari and Pressley, 1988, Proposition 3.5). ■

Definition 2.3. (Rao, 2004). A $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-module V, is called graded (resp. non-graded) highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$(resp. $\mathfrak{g}_A$) if there exist a weight vector $v \in$V with respect to $\mathfrak{h} \oplus D$(resp. $\mathfrak{h}$) such that

(1). $V = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A\ ).v$, (resp. V=U($\mathfrak{g}_A$).$v$).
(2). $\mathfrak{n}_A^+.v = 0$.
(3). $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A).v$ is an irreducible module for $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A$, ($resp.\ hv = \Psi(h)v \ \ \forall\ h \in \mathfrak{h}_A$, for $\Psi \in (\mathfrak{h}_A)^*$).

2.4. Let $\psi : U(\mathfrak{h}_A) \rightarrow A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra homomorphism. Then A is a module for $U(\mathfrak{h}_A)$ via ψ defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\underline{m})t^{\underline{s}} &= \psi(h(\underline{m}))t^{\underline{s}}, && h \in \mathfrak{h}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ ht^{\underline{s}} &= \psi(h)t^{\underline{s}}, && h \in \mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Let A_ψ = Image of ψ. If A_ψ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A$-module, let $\mathfrak{n}_A^+$ act trivially on A_ψ. Consider the following induced $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-module $M(\psi) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A\ ) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} A_\psi$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathfrak{n}_A^+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A$. As shown in (Rao, 2001, Proposition 1.4), $M(\psi)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $V(\psi)$.

The following criteria for irreducibility of A_ψ as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A$-module had been proved in Rao, 1995:

Lemma 2.5. (Rao, 1995, Lemma 1.2) A$_\psi$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A$-module if and only if each non-zero homogeneous element of A$_\psi$ is invertible.

Let $\Psi \in (\mathfrak{h}_A)^*$ and let $\mathfrak{h}_A$ act on the one dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}(\Psi)$ by Ψ. Let $\mathfrak{n}_A^+$ act trivially on $\mathbb{C}(\Psi)$. Consider the following induced $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module $M(\Psi) = U(\mathfrak{g}_A\ ) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{C}(\Psi)$, where $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{n}_A^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_A$. Then $M(\Psi)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $V(\Psi)$.

With ψ as above, let $\Psi = \mathcal{E}\cdot\psi : A_\psi \to \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{E} : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is the evaluation map defined by $\mathcal{E}(t^{\underline{m}}) = 1$. $V(\Psi) = V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi)$ can be made into a (graded) $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}$-module by

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(\underline{m}).v(\underline{r}) = (g(\underline{m}.v))(\underline{m}+\underline{r}), && \text{for } g \in \mathfrak{g}, \underline{m}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in V(\Psi),\\
&d_i(v(\underline{r})) = r_i v(\underline{r}), && \text{for } \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in V(\Psi), 1 \le i \le n,\\
&hv(\underline{r}) = (hv)(\underline{r}), && \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in V(\Psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.6 (Proposition 3.5,Rao, 2004)**.** Let ψ and $\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi$ be as above. Assume that A_ψ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{A}$module. Let G be the set of coset representatives for A/A_ψ. If v be a highest weight vector of $V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi)$, then:

(1). $V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi)\otimes A = \bigoplus\limits_{\underline{\widetilde{m}}\in G} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}\ )v(\underline{\widetilde{m}})$ as $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}$modules.

(2). For each $\underline{\widetilde{m}} \in G$, $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}\ )v(\underline{\widetilde{m}})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}$module.

(3). $\mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A})v(\widetilde{\underline{0}}) \backsimeq V(\psi)$ as $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}$module.

Lemma 2.7. Let V $\in I_{fin}$ be an irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_{A}$-module such that

$$h.v = \Psi(h)v, \qquad \forall\ h \in \mathfrak{h}_A,$$

where v is the highest weight vector of V and $\Psi \in (\mathfrak{h}_A)^*$. Then V is isomorphic to a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ module and $\Psi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Follows from (Rao,2004, Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.20). ■

We now discuss the corresponding notions for the $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-modules.

Definition 2.8. A $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-module W, is called graded (resp. non-graded) highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$(resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$) if there exist a weight vector $w \in W$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus D$(resp. $\mathfrak{h}_0$) such that

(1). $W = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)).w$, (resp. W=U($\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)).w$).

(2). $\mathfrak{n}_{A}^{+}(\mu).w = 0$.

(3). $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A(\mu)).w$ is an irreducible module for $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A$, (resp. $hw = \Psi^\mu(h)w, \ \forall\ h \in \mathfrak{h}_A(\mu)$, for $\Psi^\mu \in \big(\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu)\big)^*$).

Let $\psi^{\mu} : U(\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu)) \rightarrow A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded homomorphism. Then A is a module for $U(\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu))$ via ψ^{μ} defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\underline{m})t^{\underline{s}} &= \psi^{\mu}(h(\underline{m}))t^{\underline{s}}, & h(\underline{m}) &\in \mathfrak{h}_A(\mu), \\ ht^{\underline{s}} &= \psi(h)t^{\underline{s}}, & h &\in \mathfrak{h}_0. \end{aligned}$$

If Image $\psi^{\mu} = A_{\psi^{\mu}}$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A(\mu)$-module, let $\mathfrak{n}_A^{+}(\mu)$ act trivially on $A_{\psi^{\mu}}$. Consider the following induced $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-module $M(\psi^{\mu}) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}} A_{\psi^{\mu}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu} = \mathfrak{n}_{A}^{+}(\mu) \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{A}(\mu)$. It is easy to see that $M(\psi^{\mu})$ has a unique irreducible quotient $V(\psi^{\mu})$.

Let $\Psi^{\mu} \in (\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu))^{*}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu)$ act on the one dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}(\Psi^{\mu})$ by Ψ^{μ}. Let $\mathfrak{n}_A^{+}(\mu)$ act trivially on $\mathbb{C}(\Psi^{\mu})$. Consider the following induced $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$-module $M(\Psi^{\mu}) = U(\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)\ ) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}^{\mu}} \mathbb{C}(\Psi^{\mu})$, where $\mathcal{B}^{\mu} = \mathfrak{n}_{A}^{+}(\mu) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{A}\ (\mu)$. Then $M(\Psi^{\mu})$ has a unique irreducible quotient $V(\Psi^{\mu})$ (see Batra, 2004, Proposition 2.1).

With ψ^{μ} as above, let $\Psi^{\mu} = \mathcal{E} \cdot \psi^{\mu} : A_{\psi^{\mu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the evaluation map as defined above. Then $V(\Psi^{\mu}) = V(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi^{\mu})$ can be made into a (graded) $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-module by

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\underline{m}).v(\underline{r}) = (g(\underline{m}.v))(\underline{m}+\underline{r}), && \text{for } g(\underline{m}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)\ , \underline{m}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in V(\Psi^{\mu}), \\ & d_i(v(\underline{r})) = r_i v(\underline{r}), && \text{for } \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in V(\Psi^{\mu}), 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ & hv(\underline{r}) = (hv)(\underline{r}), && \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{h}_0,\ \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n,\ v \in V(\Psi^{\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.9. Let ψ^{μ} and $\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi^{\mu}$ be as above. Assume that $A_{\psi^{\mu}} =$ Image ψ^{μ} is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_A(\mu)$-module. Let G^{μ} be the set of coset representatives for $A/A_{\psi^{\mu}}$. Let w be a highest weight vector of $V(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi^{\mu})$. Then

(1). $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi^{\mu}) \otimes A = \bigoplus_{\underline{\tilde{m}} \in G^{\mu}} \mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)).w(\underline{m})$ at $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-modules.

(2). For each $\underline{\tilde{m}} \in G^{\mu}$, $\mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu))w(\underline{\tilde{m}})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-module.

(3). $\mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)).w(\underline{0})$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}(\psi^{\mu})$ as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-module.

Proof. Follows on similar lines to the proof of (Rao, 1993, Proposition 4.8) and (Rao, 1995, Proposition 1.8). ■

Lemma 2.10. Let $V^{(\mu)} \in I^{\mu}_{fin}$ be an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$-module such that

$$\mathfrak{n}_{A}^{+}(\mu).w = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$h.w = \Psi^{\mu}(h)w, \qquad \forall\ h \in \mathfrak{h}_{A}(\mu), \tag{2.2}$$

where w is the highest weight vector of $V^{(\mu)}$ and $\Psi^{\mu} \in (\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu))^*$. Then $V^{(\mu)}$ is isomorphic to a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module and $\Psi^{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ is a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. $V^{(\mu)}$ being an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$-module with finite-dimensional weight spaces, by (Batra, 2004, Theorem 3.5), $V^{(\mu)}$ is a module for the finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)/(\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)\mathrm{I})$ $:= \mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)(\mathrm{I})$, where I is a cofinite ideal in $A_0 = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm k}, t_2^{\pm}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm}]$. However under the conditions Eq (2.1) and Eq (2.2), $V^{(\mu)}$ is a non-graded highest weight module. Consequently $V^{(\mu)} = U(\mathfrak{n}_A^-(\mu))(I).w$, where w is the highest weight vector of $V^{(\mu)}$. Let $y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N$ be a vector basis for the finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)(\mathrm{I})$. By definition of integrability, $y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N$ act as locally finite endomorphisms on $V^{(\mu)}$. Hence using PBW theorem, we conclude $V^{(\mu)}$ is finite dimensional. By (Batra, 2004, Theorem 3.7), a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$-module, is isomorphic as a $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$ module to the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\otimes_{i=}^N V(\lambda_i)$, for $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_N)$ dominant integral weights, where for each i, $V(\lambda_i)$ denotes the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$- module with highest weight λ_i. Since $V^{(\mu)}$ is finite dimensional, $V^{(\mu)}$ is isomorphic to $\otimes_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)$ and hence the lemma. ■

By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.10, non-graded highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_A$-modules and $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$-modules are highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-modules of the form $V(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\lambda_N)$, for λ_i's dominant integral and hence they are finite dimensional irreducible $\underset{N-copies}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}$ modules for some positive integer N.

Lemma 2.11. Let ψ :U($\mathfrak{h}_A$)$\to A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded algebra homomorphism such that Image ψ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{h}_A$ module and V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi$) is a non-trivial irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module. Let $\Gamma = \{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid t^{\underline{m}} \in A_\psi\}$, where A_ψ = Image ψ. Then Γ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of rank n.

Proof. Given A_ψ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{h}_A$ module. Since ψ is an algebra homomorphism, Γ is closed under addition. Clearly, for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\psi(h \otimes 1) = \mathcal{E} \cdot \psi(h \otimes 1)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the evaluation map as defined above. But if V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi$) is a non-trivial irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module then by Lemma 2.7, $(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is dominant integral and hence there exists $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\psi(h \otimes 1) \neq 0$. Consequently, $\underline{0} \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 2.5, A_ψ every homogeneous element of A_ψ is invertible. Hence Γ is a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Claim: Rank Γ = n.
On the contrary suppose that rank Γ = k$< n$. Then there exists a basis vector $\underline{a}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such

that

$$\psi(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}t^{\underline{a}}[t^{\underline{a}}]) = 0, \qquad \psi(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}t^{-\underline{a}}[t^{-\underline{a}}]) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $\underline{a} = \underline{e}_n$. Then it follows that in the non-graded $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$

$$\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi(\mathfrak{h} \otimes P(t_n, t_n^{-1})) = 0, \qquad \text{for any polynomial } P(t_n, t_n^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[t_n, t_n^{-1}]. \tag{2.4}$$

By Lemma 2.7, $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$ is a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module and there exists Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi_{fin} : \mathfrak{g}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(V(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)),$$

such that $\Phi_{fin}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is isomorphic to $\underset{N-copies}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}$ for some integer N.

Claim : $\mathfrak{h} \otimes t_n$, $\mathfrak{h} \otimes t_n^{-1} \subset \mathrm{Ker}\ \Phi_{fin}$.
Let $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$ be the $\mathfrak{g}$-module generated by the highest weight vector v. Any general element of $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$ is of the form $x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_k}(\underline{m}_k).v$. We prove the claim by showing that $h(\underline{e}_n).(x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1) \cdots x^-_{\alpha_k}(\underline{m}_k).v) = 0$ for $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \triangle^+$. This is proved by inducting on k.

For $k = 1$, $\quad h(\underline{e}_n)x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1)v = -\alpha_1(h)x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1)v$, for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.
Thus $\qquad h(\underline{e}_n)x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1)v = 0 \quad$ if and only if $\quad x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1)v = 0$.
Observe that,

$$x^+_{\alpha_j}x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1).v = x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1)x^+_{\alpha_j}v + \delta_{j,1}\alpha_1^\vee(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1)v = 0,$$

by assumption and the fact that v is a highest weight vector in $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$. This implies that for a positive root α_1 and $\underline{m}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1).v$ is a highest weight vector of $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$ of weight less than $\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{e}_n + \underline{m}_1).v = 0$. Thus the claim holds for $k = 1$.

Now suppose the claim holds for all $k \leq s - 1$.
For $k = s$, $h(\underline{e}_n).(x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1) \cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v) = x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1).h(\underline{e}_n) \cdots x^-_{\alpha_k}(\underline{m}_s).v - \alpha_1(h)x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1 + \underline{e}_n) \cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v$. By induction hypothesis the first term in the RHS is zero, hence the claim holds for $k = s$ if and only if $x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1 + \underline{e}_n) \cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v. = 0$.

Applying $x^+_{\alpha_j}$ to $x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)\cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v.$ and using induction hypothesis recursively we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^+_{\alpha_j}x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)\cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v.\\
&= \delta_{1,j}\alpha_1^\vee(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)x^-_{\alpha_2}(\underline{m}_2)\cdots x^-_{\alpha_k}(\underline{m}_s).v. + x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)x^+_{\alpha_j}\cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v.\\
&= x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)x^+_{\alpha_j}\cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v.\\
&\vdots\\
&= x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)\cdots x^+_{\alpha_j}x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v. = x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)\cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s)x^+_{\alpha_j}v = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since v is a highest weight vector of $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi)$. Now the same weight argument as in the case $k=1$ shows that $x^-_{\alpha_1}(\underline{m}_1+\underline{e}_n)\cdots x^-_{\alpha_s}(\underline{m}_s).v.=0$. Hence the claim holds for $k=s$.

Thus we get that $\mathfrak{h}\otimes t_n$, $\mathfrak{h}\otimes t_n^{-1}\subset \mathrm{Ker}\ \Phi_{fin}$. But $\mathrm{Ker}\ \Phi_{fin}$ is of the form $\mathfrak{g}\otimes I$ for some ideal I of A and the smallest ideal of A containing t_n and t_n^{-1} is A itself. Thus rank $\Gamma < n$, would imply that $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi)$ is a trivial $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module. Hence the lemma. ■

Proposition 2.12. Every irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-module $V^{(\mu)}\in I^\mu_{fin}$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-submodule of some irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-module $\mathrm{V}\in I_{fin}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{V}(\psi^\mu)$ be an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-module, where $\psi^\mu:\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{h}_A(\mu))\to A$ is an algebra homomorphism. Then by Lemma 2.10, $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi^\mu)$ is a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$-module of the form $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda}):=V(\lambda_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V(\lambda_N)$ for some positive integer N. The action of $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$ on $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is given by a surjective homomorphism

$$\Phi^\mu_{fin}:\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)\to \underset{N-copies}{\oplus}\mathfrak{g}.$$

From Lemma 2.10, it is clear that Φ^μ_{fin} can be extended to give a surjective map

$$\Phi_{fin}:\mathfrak{g}_A\to \underset{N-copies}{\oplus}\mathfrak{g},$$

and thus give $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ the structure of an irreducible non-graded $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module.

Let w be the highest weight vector of $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Then by Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.9, $\mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A)w(\underline{0})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ module and the irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ module $\mathrm{V}(\psi^\mu)$, is the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ irreducible component $\mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)).w(\underline{0})$ of $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda})_A$. But $\mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)).w(\underline{0})\subset \mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A).w(\underline{0})$. Hence the proposition. ■

3 ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF IRREDUCIBLE $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-MODULES IN I_{fin}

It had been proved in (Rao, 2004) :

Proposition 3.1. (Rao, 2004, Proposition 3.20) Suppose $V(\psi) \in I_{fin}$ is an irreducible highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded algebra homomorphism $\psi = \psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$:U$(\mathfrak{h}_A) \to A$ is given by

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{I_j}^{\underline{m}} \lambda_j(h) t^{\underline{m}}, \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h},$$

where for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \cdots, a_{iN_i})$ and a_{ij}'s are distinct non-zero complex numbers for $1 \leq j \leq N_i$, $a_{I_j}^{\underline{m}}$ are as defined in **3.2** and each λ_j is a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$.

In this section we shall first recall the definition of the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra homomorphism $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$: $U(\mathfrak{h}_A) \to A$ as given in (Rao, 2004, Section 3) and then give a the isomorphism classes of the graded irreducible integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules V$(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$.

3.2. *The construction of the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded algebra homomorphism $\psi : U(\mathfrak{h}_A) \to A$.* Let n be a positive integer. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, let N_i be a positive integer. Let $\underline{a_i} = (a_{i1}, \cdots, a_{iN_i})$ be non-zero distinct complex numbers. Let $N = N_1 \cdots N_n$. Let $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_n)$ where $1 \leq i_j \leq N_j$. For $\underline{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define $a_I^{\underline{m}} = a_{1i_1}^{m_1} \cdots a_{ni_n}^{m_n}$. For a pair $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^N \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$, define $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$:U$(\mathfrak{h}_A) \to$ A by extending

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_I(h)\, a_I^{\underline{m}} \right) t^{\underline{m}}, \quad \forall\, h \in \mathfrak{h}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \tag{3.1}$$

to an algebra homomorphism. Clearly $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded homomorphism. From (Rao, 2004, Lemma 3.11) it follows that the condition $a_{ij} \neq a_{ik}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq N_i$, $j \neq k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, is necessary to show that non-graded highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module V$(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$, is an irreducible $\underset{N-copies}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}$ module. Further from (Rao, 2004, Lemma 3.16), Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.11, it follows that if $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a set of dominant integral weights, then $A_{\psi_{(\lambda,a)}} = \mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma]$, for some rank n subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Here, $\mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma]$ denotes the Laurent polynomial ring in the variables $s_i = t^{\underline{m}_i}$, where Γ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with basis $\{\underline{m}_1, \cdots \underline{m}_n\}$.

For n=1, the map $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$ is of the form

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}(h \otimes t_1^m) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ \lambda_i(h) \ a_{1i}^m\right) t_1^m, \quad \forall \ h \in \mathfrak{h}, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.2}$$

using the following lemma, irreducible integrable modules for the Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ were classified in (Chari and Pressley, 1986):

Lemma 3.3. (Chari and Pressley, 1986, Lemma 4.4) Let $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$: $U(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}]) \to \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}]$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra homomorphism as defined in Eqn (3.2) such that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}= \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm r}]$. Then $N = rs$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and there exists a primitive r^{th} root of unity ρ, distinct non-zero complex numbers $C_1, \cdots, C_s$ and a permutation τ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r = C_1\rho, C_1\rho^2, \cdots, C_1\rho^r \quad, \cdots, & a_{N-r+1}, a_{N-r+2}, \cdots, a_N = C_s\rho, C_s\rho^2, \cdots, C_s\rho^r \\ \text{and} \qquad \lambda_{\tau(1)} = \lambda_{\tau(2)} = \cdots = \lambda_{\tau(r)} \quad, \cdots, & \lambda_{\tau(N-r+1)} = \cdots = \lambda_{\tau(N)}. \end{array}$$

The following theorem generalizes the above lemma. In the theorem, $pr_i : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}\underline{e}_i$ denotes the projection map of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ onto the i^{th} coordinate. Given a rank n subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, it is easy to see that there exists positive integers $r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n$ such that $pr_i(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma = r_i\mathbb{Z}\underline{e}_i$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$: $U(\mathfrak{h}_A) \to A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra homomorphism as defined in Eq. (3.1). If Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}= \mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma]$, for a rank n subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $pr_i(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma = r_i\mathbb{Z}\underline{e}_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then r_i divides N_i for $1 \leq$ i $\leq$ n and $\mathbf{a}_{N_i} = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots, a_{iN_i})$ is a N_i-tuple of distinct non-zero complex numbers such that if ϵ_i is the ${r_{_i}}^{th}$ root of unity then there exists l_i (l_i=N$_i/r_{_i}$) distinct elements $\{c_{i1}, c_{i2}, \ldots, c_{il_i}\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$\begin{array}{lcr} a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \cdots, a_{ir_{_i}} & = & \epsilon_i c_{i1}, \epsilon_i^2 c_{i1}, \cdots, \epsilon_i^{r_{_i}} c_{i1}, \\ \cdots & & \cdots \\ a_{iN_i - r_{_i}+1}, a_{iN_i - r_{_i}+2}, \cdots, a_{iN_i} & = & \epsilon_i c_{il_i}, \epsilon_i^2 c_{il_i}, \cdots, \epsilon_i^{r_{_i}} c_{il_i}, \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

and the index of Γ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ divides N. Moreover, the irreducible integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{_A}$-module V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$) is an irreducible component of $\left(\otimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(V(\lambda_i)^{\otimes p}\right)\right) \otimes A$, where p=$[\mathbb{Z}^n : \Gamma]$.

Proof. Since for each $k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, $pr_k(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma = r_k\mathbb{Z}e_k$ therefore,

$$\sum_{i_k=1}^{N_k} \left(\sum_{(i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_n)} \lambda_{(i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_k, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_n)} \right) a_{ki_{_k}}^n = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad n \not\equiv 0 \mod r_k$$

Hence by Lemma 3.3, for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, $r_{_i}$ divides N_i and there exists distinct non-zero complex numbers $c_{i1}, c_{i2}, \ldots, c_{il_n}$ such that Eq. (3.3) holds, where ϵ_i is the $r_{_i}^{th}$ root of unity and l_i=N$_i/r_{_i}$. But $N_i \equiv 0$ mod $r_{_i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, implies that $\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_{_i}$ divides $\prod_{i=1}^{n} N_i = N$. Moreover, $\overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} r_{_i}\mathbb{Z}\underline{e}_i$ is a sub-lattice of Γ, therefore p = $[\mathbb{Z}^n : \Gamma]$ divides $[\mathbb{Z}^n : \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} r_{_i}\mathbb{Z}\underline{e}_i]$= $\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_{_i}$.

The second part of the theorem is proven by inducting on n, the number of variables of the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$. For n=1, the proof is given by Lemma 3.3. Since r_i divides N_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$, therefore without loss of generality we may assume that $N_i = r_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, for n>2. By standard theory, there exists a basis $\{\underline{m}^i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of Γ such that the relation matrix B=$(m_j^i)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ of the generators with respect to the standard ordered basis $(\underline{e}_1, \cdots, \underline{e}_n)$ is lower triangular. i.e, B is given by,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{n-1} & 0 \\ (m_1^n, \cdots, m_{n-1}^n) & m_n^n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B_{n-1} = (m_j^i)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n-1}$ is the relation matrix of the rank $n-1$ subgroup $\Gamma_{n-1} = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \underline{m}^i \mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Observe that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the element $r_i\underline{e}_i \in \Gamma \cap pr_i(\Gamma)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $\{\underline{m}^1, \cdots, \underline{m}^i\}$. Hence if $\underline{m}^i = (m_1^i, \cdots, m_i^i, 0, \cdots, 0)$, then $r_i \in m_i^i\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $r_i \equiv 0$ mod m_i^i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Owing to the relation matrix B of Γ we have,

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}(h(\underline{q})) = 0 \text{ if } q_n \not\equiv 0 \text{ mod } m_n^n.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.3, whenever $a_{n\ell_n}^{m_n^n} = a_{nk_n}^{m_n^n}$,

$$\sum_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1})} \lambda_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}, \ell_n)} a_{1\ell_1}^{q_1} \cdots a_{n-1\ell_{n-1}}^{q_{n-1}} = \sum_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1})} \lambda_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}, k_n)} a_{1\ell_1}^{q_1} \cdots a_{n-1\ell_{n-1}}^{q_{n-1}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since by Eqn (3.3) for $1 \leq i_n \leq N_n$, a_{ni_n} is a r_n^{th} root of a scalar and $r_n \equiv 0$ mod m_n^n, therefore given $l_n \in \{1, \cdots, N_n\}$, there exists m_n^n distinct integers $k_n \in \{1, \cdots, N_n\}$ such that $a_{n\ell_n}^{m_n^n} = a_{nk_n}^{m_n^n}$. Since $A_d = (a_{di_d}^{s_d})_{\substack{0 \leq s_d \leq N_d - 1 \\ 1 \leq i_d \leq N_d}}$ is invertible for $1 \leq d \leq n_1$, therefore the matrix $(a_{1i_1}^{j_1} \cdots a_{n-1i_{n-1}}^{j_{n-1}}) = \otimes_{d=1}^{n-1} A_d$ is invertible. Hence for a fixed (n-1)-tuple $(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} I_{N_j}$ there exists a subset $S_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1})}$ of I_{N_n} such that $|S_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1})}| = m_n^n$ and

$$\lambda_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}, \ell_n)} = \lambda_{(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}, k_n)}, \qquad \text{for } \ell_n, k_n \in S_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_{n-1})}.$$

However for a fixed $\ell_n \in \{1, \cdots, N_n\}$,

$$\sum_{(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{n-1})} \lambda_{(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{n-1},\ell_n)} a_{1\ell_1}^{q_1} \cdots a_{n-1\ell_{n-1}}^{q_{n-1}} = 0, \quad \text{if } (q_1, \cdots, q_{n-1}) \notin \Gamma_{n-1}.$$

Thus by induction hypothesis, for a fixed $\ell_n \in I_{N_n}$, there exists $[\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]$= det($B_{n-1}$) (n-1)-tuples $(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} I_{N_j}$ for which $\lambda_{(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{n-1},l_n)}$'s are equal. Therefore if Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$= $\mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma]$ then the λ_{I_j}'s are equal in sets of $m_n^n.[\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]$=$[\mathbb{Z}^n : \Gamma]$.

As a consequence, if p=$[\mathbb{Z}^n : \Gamma]$ then the $\mathfrak{g}_A$-module $V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$ is isomorphic to $\overset{M}{\underset{i=1}{\otimes}} V(\lambda_i)^{\otimes p}$, where $N = Mp$ and by Proposition 2.6, $V(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}) \otimes A$ is the direct sum of p irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$ modules with V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$) as one of its irreducible components. Hence the theorem. ■

Let V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$) be an irreducible component of $(\otimes_{i=1}^k V(\lambda_i))_A$. Then a highest weight vector of V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$) is of the form $\boldsymbol{v} = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \otimes t^{\underline{m}}$, where v_i's are the highest weight vectors of V(λ_i)'s for each i and $t^{\underline{m}} \in$ Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$. For $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{h}$, $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have,

$$X(\underline{s}).\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{I_i}^{\underline{m}} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X.v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \otimes t^{\underline{m}+\underline{s}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\{X.v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \otimes t^{\underline{m}}, \cdots, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X.v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \otimes t^{\underline{m}}, \cdots\}$ are linearly independent and $a_{I_i}^{\underline{m}} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, therefore $X(\underline{s}).\boldsymbol{v} \neq 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{h}$, $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\phi, \psi : U(\mathfrak{h}_A\ ) \to A$ be two $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded algebra homomorphisms such that $\Lambda_\psi = \psi|_{\mathfrak{h}+D}$ and $\Lambda_{\phi+D} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules V(ψ) and V(ϕ) are isomorphic if and only if Ker ψ = Ker ϕ and $\Lambda_\psi = \Lambda_\phi + \delta_{\underline{m}}$ for some $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $t^{\underline{m}} \in$ Image ψ.

Proof. The proof is the same as in (Chari, 1986, Theorem 3.5(iv)). ■

Corollary 3.6. If $\phi, \psi : U(\mathfrak{h}_A\ ) \to A$ be two $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded algebra homomorphisms such that the irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules V(ψ) and V(ϕ) are isomorphic then V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi$) is isomorphic to V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \phi$) as $\mathfrak{g}$ modules.

Proof. The isomorphism of the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-modules $V(\psi)$ and $V(\phi)$ induces an isomorphism of the $\mathfrak{g}_A$-modules V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi$) and V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \phi$), say, f : V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi$) $\to$ V($\mathcal{E} \cdot \phi$).

By Lemma 2.7, $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \phi)$ are finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.
Since f is a $\mathfrak{g}_{_A}$-module isomorphism,

$$x_\alpha(\underline{0})\ f(w) = f(x_\alpha(\underline{0})w). \quad \text{for } 0 \neq w \in V(\psi) \text{ and } x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.6}$$

Identifying $\mathfrak{g} \otimes 1$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ via the map $x_\alpha(\underline{0}) \mapsto x_\alpha$, we thus see that the map f induces a $\mathfrak{g}$-module isomorphism between $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \psi)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E} \cdot \phi)$. ■

3.7. Recall from (Rajan, 2004, Theorem 1) that two $\mathfrak{g}$ modules $\otimes_{i=1}^{k} V(\lambda_i)$ and $\otimes_{j=1}^{p} V(\varpi_j)$ are isomorphic if and only if $k = p$ and $V(\lambda_i) \backsimeq V(\varpi_{\tau(j)})$ for some permutation τ of I_k. Thus given $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^N \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ and $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^{N'} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{N'}$, if $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$ and $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b})})$ are isomorphic $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{_A}$ modules, then by Proposition 3.5, Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 3.4, $N = N'$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$ for some permutation τ of $\{1, \cdots, N\}$, suitably chosen in view of Theorem 3.4.

Since Kernel $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$= Kernel $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b})}$, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded isomorphism from Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$ to Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b})}$. Hence if Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$= $\mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma]$ then Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b})}$= $\mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma]$ for Γ a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $pr_i(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma = r_i \mathbb{Z}\underline{e}_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\psi(h(sr_i\underline{e}_i)) \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(h(sr_i\underline{e}_i)) \neq 0.$$

Hence if $f : V(\psi) \to V(\phi)$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{_A}$-module isomorphism and v is the highest weight vector in $V(\psi)$, then

$$h(sr_i\underline{e}_i).f(v) = f(h(sr_i\underline{e}_i).v), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N_i^0} (\sum \xi_{_{I_j}}(h)) b_{ii_j}^{r_i s} f(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i^0} (\sum \lambda_{_{I_j}}(h)) a_{ii_j}^{r_i s} f(v). \tag{3.8}$$

But $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$ for some permutation τ of $\{1, \cdots, N\}$, therefore Eqn (3.8) is satisfied if for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, there exists $s_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $(b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{iN_i}) = s_i.(a_{i\tau_i(1), \cdots, a_{i\tau_i(N_i)}})$.

Notation: Given $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}) \in I_{fin}$, if $d_i.\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}} = \varrho_i.\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then we shall denote the corresponding highest weight irreducible module by $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})},\ \boldsymbol{\varrho})$. By convention we refer to $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})},\ \mathbf{0})$ as $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$.

With the above notations, it follows from discussion **3.7** that:

Theorem 3.8. Given $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^N \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ and $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^{N_0} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{N_0}$, where $N = N_1 \cdots N_n$ and $N_0 = N_1^0 \cdots N_n^0$, and $\boldsymbol{\varrho}=(\varrho_1, \cdots, \varrho_n)$, $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}=(\varsigma_1, \cdots, \varsigma_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})},\ \boldsymbol{\varrho})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b})},\ \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A$-module if

1. $N_i = N_i^0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and consequently $N = N_0$;
2. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists permutations τ_i of $\{1, \cdots, N_i\}$ such that
   (a) $\lambda_{(i_1, \cdots, i_n)} = \xi_{(\tau_1(i_1), \cdots, \tau_n(i_n))}$;
   (b) $(b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{iN_i}) = \mathfrak{s}_i.(a_{i\tau_i(1), \cdots, a_{i\tau_i(N_i)}})$, for some $\mathfrak{s}_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
3. There exists $\underline{m} \in \Gamma$ such that $(\varrho_1, \cdots, \varrho_n)+\underline{m} = (\varsigma_1, \cdots, \varsigma_n)$, where Γ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})} = \mathbb{C}[t^\Gamma] =$ Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b})}$.

4 ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF IRREDUCIBLE $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ MODULES IN I_{fin}^μ

In this section we shall give the isomorphism classes of the irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ modules in I_{fin}^μ . By Proposition 2.12, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 2.9, every irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-module in I_{fin}^μ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ submodule of $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})_A$, for some $\mathbb{Z}^n$ graded algebra homomorphism $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}$. Since by (Rao, 2004), action of $\mathfrak{g}_A$ on the finite dimensional module $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$ is given by the surjective Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{gathered} \Phi(\mathbf{a}) : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \oplus_{\mathbf{N-copies}}\mathfrak{g} \\ X \otimes t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_n^{m_n} \mapsto (a_{1i_1}^{m_1} \cdots a_{ni_n}^{m_n} X)_{1 \leq i_1 \leq N_1; \cdots; 1 \leq i_n \leq N_n}, \end{gathered} \tag{4.1}$$

therefore action of $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$ on $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})})$ is given by the restriction map $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mu) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{a})|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mu)}$. It has been proved in (Batra, 2004):

Proposition 4.1. (Batra, 2004, Proposition 2.2) : Let $\mathrm{V}(\Phi(\mathbf{a}))$ be a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_A$ module on which $\mathfrak{g}_A$ acts via the map $\Phi(\mathbf{a})$ as defined in Eq. (4.1). Then $\mathrm{V}(\Phi(\mathbf{a}))$ is irreducible as a $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$ module if for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, $\underline{a_i} = (a_{i1}, \cdots, a_{iN_i})$ is a N_i-tuple of distinct non-zero complex numbers and $a_{1i}^k \neq a_{1j}^k$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N_1$, or equivalently if Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a}) =$ Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mu) = \oplus_{N-copies}\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 4.2. Suppose $\oplus_{N-copies}\mathfrak{g} \backsimeq$ Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a}) \neq$ Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a},\mu)$. Then Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a},\mu) \backsimeq \oplus_{\mathcal{N}-\mathbf{copies}}\mathfrak{g}$ for some integer $\mathcal{N} < N$. An irreducible $\oplus_{N-copies}\mathfrak{g}$ module $\mathrm{V}(\Phi(\mathbf{a}))(=\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}))$ is completely reducible as a $\oplus_{\mathcal{N}-copies}\mathfrak{g}$ module, for $\mathcal{N} \leq N$. Therefore any finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_{A}$ module is completely reducible as a $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$-module under the restricted action.

4.3. Let Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a})$ =Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a},\mu)$. Let the irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-submodule of $\mathrm{V}(\Phi(\mathbf{a},\mu))_A$ be denoted by $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ where $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}:= \psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}|_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{A}(\mu))} : U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{A}(\mu)) \to A$, denotes the restriction map. Clearly,

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}|_{\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm},\cdots,t_n^{\pm}]} = \psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}|_{\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm},\cdots,t_n^{\pm}]}.$$

If $\{\underline{m}^1,\cdots,\underline{m}^n\}$ is a linearly independent set in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}= \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm\underline{m}_1},\cdots,t^{\pm\underline{m}_n}]$ and Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}|_{\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm},\cdots,t_n^{\pm}]} = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm\underline{m}_1},\cdots,t^{\pm\underline{m}_{n-1}}]$,then the set $\{\underline{m}^1,\cdots,\underline{m}^{n-1}\}$ can be suitably extended to form a basis of Γ^{μ}, where $\Gamma^{\mu} = \{t^{\underline{m}} \in A, t^{\underline{m}} \in$ Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}\}$.

If $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is a non-trivial irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-module, then $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is a non-trivial $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$-module by Proposition 2.9. Hence the same proof as Lemma 2.11 shows that Γ^{μ} is a rank n subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\{\underline{m}^1,\cdots,\underline{m}^{n-1},\hat{\underline{m}}\}$ be a basis of Γ^{μ} such that the relation matrix B^{μ} of Γ^{μ} with respect to the ordered base $(\underline{e}_2,\cdots,\underline{e}_n,\underline{e}_1)$ is lower triangular.

Theorem 4.4. Let order of μ be k. Assume that for $i \in \{1,\cdots,n\}$, $\underline{a}_i = (a_{i1},\cdots,a_{iN_i})$ is a N_i-tuple of distinct non-zero complex numbers, $a_{1i}^k \neq a_{1j}^k$ for $1 \leq i,j \leq N_1$ and for $1 \leq j \leq N$, λ_{I_j}'s are dominant integral weights. Suppose that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a})}|_{\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm},\cdots,t_n^{\pm}]} = \mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma_{n-1}}]$ and $\hat{\underline{m}} = \hat{m}_1\underline{e}_2 + \cdots + \hat{m}_{n-1}\underline{e}_n + \hat{m}_n\underline{e}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is such that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}= \mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma^{\mu}}] = \mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma_{n-1}}, t^{\pm\hat{\underline{m}}}]$. Then:

1. If $\mu(\lambda_{I_j}) \neq \lambda_{I_j}$ for some $j \in \{1,\cdots,N\}$, $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-submodule of $(\otimes_{i=1}^{\ell}(V(\lambda_{I_i})^{\otimes p}))_A$, where $p =[\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]$.
2. If $\mu(\lambda_{I_j}) = \lambda_{I_j}$ for all $j \in \{1,\cdots,N\}$, $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$-submodule of $(\otimes_{i=1}^{\ell}(V(\lambda_{I_i})^{\otimes q}))_A$, where $q =[\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]\hat{m}_n/k$.

Remark. With respect to the ordered basis $(\underline{e}_2,\cdots,\underline{e}_n,\underline{e}_1)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ let $\hat{\underline{m}} = (\hat{m}_1,\cdots,\hat{m}_n)$. If we prove that in case (1) $\hat{m}_n = 1$ and in case (2) $\hat{m}_n = ks$ for some positive integer s, then the desired result will follow by the same calculations as done in Theorem 3.4.

Proof. For $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, if $\underline{m} = (m_2, \cdots, m_n, m_1)$ with respect to the ordered basis $\{\underline{e}_2, \cdots, \underline{e}_n, \underline{e}_1\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{I_i} a_{1i_1}^{m_1} a_{2i_2}^{m_2} \cdots a_{ni_n}^{m_n} = 0, \quad \text{if } m_1 \not\equiv 0 \text{ mod } \hat{m}_n \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\underline{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \cdots, a_{iN_i})$ are non-zero distinct complex numbers for each $2 \leq i \leq n$, the matrix M $=(a_{2i_2}^{m_2} \cdots a_{ni_n}^{m_n})_{\substack{0 \leq m_2 \leq N_2 - 1; \cdots ; 0 \leq m_n \leq N_n - 1 \\ 1 \leq i_2 \leq N_2; \cdots ; 1 \leq i_n \leq N_n}}$ being the tensor product of the invertible matrices $M_k = (a_{ki_k}^{m_k})_{\substack{0 \leq m_k \leq N_k - 1 \\ 1 \leq i_k \leq N_k}}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$, is invertible (see Batra, 2004). Hence by Eq. (4.2) we get,

$$\begin{array}{c} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \lambda_{(i_1, 1, \cdots, 1)} a_{1i_1}^{m_1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \lambda_{(i_1, 2, \cdots, 1)} a_{1i_1}^{m_1} = 0, \\ \cdots \\ \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \lambda_{(i_1, N_2, \cdots, N_n)} a_{1i_1}^{m_1} = 0. \end{array} \qquad \text{if } m_1 \not\equiv 0 \text{ mod } \hat{m}_n. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $a_{1i}^k \neq a_{1j}^k$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N_1$, therefore applying (Chari and Pressley, 1988, Proposition 4.2), in each of the above equations we conclude that:

(1). $\hat{m}_n = 1$, if $\mu(\lambda_{I_j}) \neq \lambda_{I_j}$ for some for some $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$. Then with notations as above, $[\mathbb{Z}^n : \Gamma^\mu] = [\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]\hat{m}_n$. Hence by above remark V$(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-submodule of $(\otimes_{i=1}^{\ell}(V(\lambda_{I_i})^{\otimes p}))_A$, where $p = [\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]$.

(2). $\hat{m}_1 = ks$, for some $s \geq 1$ if $\mu(\lambda_{I_j}) = \lambda_{I_j}$ for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$. Moreover from Eq. (4.3) it follows that for a fixed $(n-1)-$tuple of integers $\hat{I} = (i_2, i_3, \cdots, i_n)$ where $1 \leq i_k \leq N_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\lambda_{(i_1, \hat{I})}$ are equal in groups of s and the corresponding $a_{1i_1}^k$ are proportional to the s^{th} roots of unity. Now from Proposition 2.9 and a similar set of calculations as done in Theorem 3.4, it follows that V$(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-submodule of $(\otimes_{i=1}^{\ell}(V(\lambda_{I_i})^{\otimes q}))_A$, where $q = [\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \Gamma_{n-1}]s$, for $s = \hat{m}_n / k$. ■

4.5. An irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-module V$(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\mu})})$ shall be referred to as:
- highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules of first type if $\mu(\lambda_{I_j}) \neq \lambda_{I_j}$ for some for some $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$.
- highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules of second type if $\mu(\lambda_{I_j}) = \lambda_{I_j}$ for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $\Phi(\mathbf{a})$, $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mu)$ and $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a})}$ be maps as defined above.

1. If Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a})$ = Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mu)$ then V$(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a})})$ is completely reducible as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ module.

2. If Image $\Phi(a) \neq$ Image $\Phi(a,\mu)$ then $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}})$ is completely reducible as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)$ module if and only if Image $\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}} = \mathrm{A}$.

Proof. To prove (1), observe that by Proposition 2.12 and Proposition 4.1, $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}}) = \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a,\mu)}})$ under the given conditions. Thus from Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.9 it follows that,

$$\mathrm{V}(\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}}) = \underset{\underline{s}\in G^{\mu}\cap\Gamma}{\oplus} \mathrm{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)).\boldsymbol{\omega}(\underline{s}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the highest weight vector of the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mu)$ module $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a,\mu)}})$ and given Image $\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a,\mu)}}= \mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma^{\mu}}]$, G^{μ} is the set of coset representatives of Γ^{μ} in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Proof of (2). Let Image $\Phi(a) = \underset{N-copies}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}$ and Image $\Phi(a,\mu) = \underset{\mathcal{N}-copies}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}$, with $N \neq \mathcal{N}$. Then by Remark 4.2,

$$V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}}) = \overset{\ell}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\xi(i),b,\mu)}}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi(i)} = (\xi_{i_1},\xi_{i_2},..,\xi_{i_{\mathcal{N}}})\in (\mathfrak{h}^{*})^{\mathcal{N}}$, $\boldsymbol{b} \in (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \xi_{i_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let Image $\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\xi(i),b,\mu)}}= \mathbb{C}[t^{\Lambda_i}]$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$(V(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}}))_A = \overset{k}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{s}_j\in G(\Lambda_i)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{A}(\mu)).\omega_i(\underline{s}_j) \right),$$

where $\mathrm{G}(\Lambda_i)$ denotes the set of coset representatives of Λ_i in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and ω_i is the highest weight vector of $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\xi(i),b,\mu)}})$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Consider $s \in I_k$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \xi_{s_j} < \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j$. Then

$$\omega_s = \sum c_{s_1,..,s_N} w_{s_1} \otimes ... \otimes w_{s_N},$$

where weight of the each summand is $\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \xi_{s_j}$. However there exists a summand $w_{s_1}\otimes...\otimes w_{s_N}$ of ω_s such that $w_{s_\ell} \in \{v_1,\cdots,v_N\}$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq \mathcal{N}$ but $\{w_{s_1},..,w_{s_N}\} \neq \{v_1,\cdots,v_N\}$, where v_i's are the highest weight vectors of $V(\lambda_i)$'s. In that case it follows from the proof of (Chari and Pressley, 1986, Proposition 1.2), that if $v = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N$ and Image $\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\lambda,a)}}\neq A$ then ω_s need not be contained in $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{A})v$ though $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{A})v \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{\boldsymbol{(\xi(s),b,\mu)}})_A \neq \emptyset$. Hence the proposition. ■

Notation. Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, let $\lambda^{i} = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_i}$ for $i = 0,1$ if $k = 2$ (resp. $i = 0,1,2$ if $k = 3$).

4.7. Given $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{a}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^N \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ and $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{b}) \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^{N'} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{N'}$, such that Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a})$ = Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mu)$ and Image $\Phi(\mathbf{b})$ = Image $\Phi(\mathbf{b}, \mu)$, the same proof as Proposition 3.5 shows that, $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ and $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ are isomorphic $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ modules if and only if

- Ker $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$= Ker $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$;
- $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}|_{(\mathfrak{h}_0+D)} = \psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}|_{(\mathfrak{h}_0+D)} + \delta_{\underline{m}}$ for some $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $t^{\underline{m}} \in$ Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 it then follows from a similar proof as Corollary 3.6 that if $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ and $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ are isomorphic as $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ modules then $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})})$ as $\mathfrak{g}$ modules. Consequently, by (Rajan, 2004, Theorem 1), $N = N'$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$, for some τ in the permutation group of I_N suitably chosen in view of Theorem 4.4 and Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})} = \mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma^\mu}]$ = Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ for some rank n subgroup Γ^μ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let $A_0 = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 2}, t_2^{\pm}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm}]$. Since $\mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm}] \subset A_0$, if Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}|_{(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm},\cdots,t_n^{\pm}])(\mu)} = \mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma^\mu_{n-1}}]$ then $t^{\underline{m}} \in A_0$ for all $\underline{m} \in \Gamma^\mu_{n-1}$.

With notation as in Proposition 3.8 we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.8. Let $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}, \boldsymbol{\varrho})$ and $\mathrm{V}(\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ be two irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules in I^μ_{fin} such that Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a})$ = Image $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mu)$ and Image $\Phi(\mathbf{b})$ = Image $\Phi(\mathbf{b}, \mu)$. Then they are isomorphic if:

1. Both are of the same type. (see 4.5)
2. If both are of the first type then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists permutations τ_i of $\{1, \cdots, N_i\}$ such that
   (a) $(b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{iN_i}) = \wp_i.(a_{i\tau_i(1),\cdots,a_{i\tau_i(N_i)}})$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $(b^k_{11}, \cdots, b^k_{1N_1}) = \wp_1.(a^k_{i\tau_i(1)}, \cdots, a^k_{i\tau_i(N_i)})$, i.e., for each $1 \leq i \leq N_1$, $b_{1i} = \varepsilon\wp_1 a_{1\tau_1(i)}$, where ε is a k^{th} root of unity and $\wp_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
   (b) $\xi^0_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \lambda^0_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}$ and $\xi^i_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \varepsilon^{-i}\lambda^i_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}$ (for i=1 when k=2 and i=1,2 when k=3) whenever $b_{1i_1} = \varepsilon\wp_1 a_{1\tau_1(i_1)}$, where ε is a k^{th} root of unity .

3. If both are of the second type then for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $N_i = N_i^0$ and there exists permutations τ_i of $\{1, \cdots, N_i\}$ such that
   (a) $\xi_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \xi^0_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \lambda^0_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))} = \lambda_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}$.
   (b) $(b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{iN_i}) = \wp_i.(a_{i\tau_i(1)}, \cdots, a_{i\tau_i(N_i)})$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $(b^k_{11}, \cdots, b^k_{1N_1})= \wp_1.(a^k_{i\tau_i(1)}, \cdots, a^k_{i\tau_i(N_i)})$, i.e., for each $1 \leq i \leq N_1$, $b_{1i} = \varepsilon\wp_1 a_{1\tau_1(i)}$, where ε is a k^{th} root of unity and $\wp_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

4. There exists $\underline{m} \in \Gamma^\mu$ such that $(\varrho_1, \cdots, \varrho_n)+\underline{m} = (\varsigma_1, \cdots, \varsigma_n)$. where Γ^μ is a rank n subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$=$\mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma^\mu}]$= Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$.

Proof. (1). Let V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) and V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) be irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ modules of first and second type respectively. We consider the case when k=2. The case k=3, can be proved similarly.

For k=2, note that by 4.3, there exists $h \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ such that

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}(h \otimes t_1 t^{\underline{m}}) \neq 0, \qquad \text{for some } t^{\underline{m}} \in A_0.$$

But $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}|_{\mathfrak{h}\otimes A_1} = 0$. Hence Ker $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$$\neq$ Ker $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$. Hence (1).

(2). Let V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) and V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) be isomorphic irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$ modules of first type. Since $\mathfrak{g}_A(\mu)$= $\oplus_{i=0}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t_1^i A_0)$, for k=2,3, where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra, the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$-modules V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) and V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) are also isomorphic as modules for the multiloop Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes A_0$. Consequently, by Theorem 3.8, $N_i = N_i'$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and there exists permutations τ_i of $\{1, \cdots, N_i\}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\xi^0_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \lambda^0_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}, & \\
(b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{iN_i}) = \wp_i.(a_{i\tau_i(1),\cdots,a_{i\tau_i(N_i)}}), & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n, \\
(b^2_{11}, \cdots, b^2_{1N_1}) = \wp_1.(a^2_{i\tau_i(1)}, \cdots, a^2_{i\tau_i(N_i)}), & \text{where } \wp_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{array}$$

Since $b^2_{1i} = \wp_1 a^2_{1\tau_1(i)}$, therefore $b_{1i} = \pm\sqrt{\wp_1} a_{1\tau_1(i)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N_1$. But we have seen that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$=$\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$, for some τ in the permutation group of I_N, hence there exists permutations τ_i of $\{1, \cdots, N_i\}$ such that $\xi_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \lambda_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}$. However, for $h \in \mathfrak{h}_j$, $j \neq 0$, and $t^{\underline{m}} \in A_0$,

$$\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}(h \otimes t_1^j t^{\underline{m}}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^j_{I_i} a^{km+j}_{1i_1} a^{m_2}_{2i_2} \cdots a^{m_n}_{ni_n}\right) t_1^j t^{\underline{m}}.$$

Hence for k=2, $\xi_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \lambda_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}$, $\xi^0_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \lambda^0_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}$, where τ_i is a permutation of $\{1,\cdots,N_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $b_{1i} = \pm\sqrt{\wp_1}a_{1\tau_1(i)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N_1$ imply:

$$\xi^1_{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} = \pm\lambda^1_{(\tau_1(i_1),\cdots,\tau_n(i_n))}, \qquad \text{whenever} \quad b_{1i_1} = \pm\wp_1 a_{1\tau_1(i_1)}.$$

Hence (2) holds for $k = 2$. The case k=3, can be proved similarly.
(3). Let V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) and V($\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$) be two isomorphic irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A(\mu)$modules of the second type. Suppose that Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$= $\mathbb{C}[t^{\Gamma^\mu}]$ = Image $\psi_{(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\mu})}$. Then from 4.3 it is clear that for all $\underline{m} \in \Gamma^\mu$, $t^{\underline{m}} \in A_0$. Hence by the same analysis as above (3) can be proved.
(4) is clear. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. S.Eswara Rao for suggesting the problem and pointing out errors in an earlier draft of the manuscript. The first author would also like to thank Prof Amritanshu Prasad, Dr. Anupam Kumar Singh and Prof. Maneesh Thakur for helpful discussions during this work. She would also like to thank Dr. Purusottam Rath for bringing reference (Rajan, 2004) to her notice.

REFERENCES

Allison, B., Berman, S., Faulkner, J., Pianzola, A. Realization of graded simple algebras as loop algebras, arXiv:math/0511723v2 [math.RA],to appear in Forum Mathematicum.

Batra, P. (2004). Representations of twisted multi-loop Lie algebras. J. Algebra 272:404-416.

Chari, V. (1986). Integrable representations of affine Lie-algebras. Invent. Math. 85:317-335.

Chari, V., Pressley, A. N. (1986). New unitary representations of loop groups. Math. Ann. 275:87-104.

Chari, V., Pressley, A. N. (1988) Integrable representations of twisted affine Lie algebras. J. Algebra 113:438-464.

Humphreys, J. E. (1972). Introduction to Lie-algebras and representations theory. Springer, Berlin, Hidelberg, New York.

Kac, V. G. (1990). Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press.

Rajan, C. S. (2004). Unique decomposition of tensor products of irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups. Ann. of Math. 160:683-704.

Rao, S. Eswara. (1993). On Represetations of loop algebra. Comm. Algebra 21(6):2131-2153.

Rao, S. Eswara. (1995). Iterated loop modules and a filtration for the vertex representation of toroidal Lie algebras. Pacific J. Math 171(2):511-528.

Rao, S. Eswara. (2001). Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop Lie algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces. J. Algebra 246:215-225.

Rao, S. Eswara. (2004). Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces. J. Algebra 277:318-348.

Yoon, Y. (2002). On polynomial representations of current algebras. J. Algebra 252(2):376-393.